TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:

Maritime Communications/Land Mobile, LLC et al

For commission consent to assignment of various authorizations in wireless radio service

EB Docket No. 11-71 0.25

ORIGINAL

DATE OF HEARING: __May 22, 2012_____ VOLUME: ___5___
PLACE OF HEARING: WASHINGTON, D.C. __PAGES: __520-675__

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: That is right.
2	Some
3	MR. KELLER: We've given
4	everything we have.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't like that
6	answer.
7	MR. KELLER: Well, we can't give
8	more than we have.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't think
10	that you tried hard enough to get all of the
11	information she needs. I can't believe that
12	you have licenses to stations and nobody knows
13	anything about it.
L4	MR. REARDON: Your Honor, that's
L5	the truth.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well,
L7	if that's the truth, then that's the truth.
18	That might be. But I think it's going to be
19	your problem. It's not going to be the
20	Bureau's problem.
21	MR. HAVENS: Your Honor, could I
22	make a few more points on the long

presentation by Mr. Keller and Ms. Kane on 1 this construction and operation issue? 2 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I guess you 3 I will let you do it, but I'm not going 4 can. to make anybody stay around for it. We'll 5 hear it on the record. 6 Thank you. 7 MR. HAVENS: Sure. One of the points that Mr. Keller 8 asserted is that the construction has been 9 adjudicated. That is not correct. I know all 10 of these proceedings. I'll be glad to show 11 12 you. There has never been a showing by 13 either Maritime or Mobex or any of the 14 predecessors that they know anything at all by 15 any construction deadline. 16 Construction does not mean 17 an It means they built it operating station. 18 in the case equipment and 19 with interconnect at the construction deadline. 20 Keller also made long Mr. 21 argument about the geographic licenses that 22

Maritime has are subject to some of the site-based licenses. That presupposes that there is a definition of the coverage area of the site-based licenses.

The Wireless Bureau has issued to Maritime two orders that Maritime turn over to SkyTel entities because we hold the geographic licenses in most -- in large part of the country subject to the Maritime site-based licenses.

But under FCC rules 80.385B, we have the right -- according to two orders from down at the Wireless Bureau, we have the right to get from Maritime these details on its actual operating site-based stations so that we know how we can use our geographic spectrum up to the limits of its F-5050 contour. We can't determine the F-5050 contour without those details.

Maritime counsel has written back to us several times in its documents in our New Jersey litigation that we will not

provide, Maritime will not give us, those details.

The issue is that Maritime cannot state that only its geographic licenses are subject to the site-based licenses. That is all. Those site-based licenses are in the middle. Many of them are in the middle of my companies' geographic licenses. And we're being barred from using our geographic licenses around the country for that reason.

And, plus, there are some on the borders between the geographic licenses that my company holds and Maritime holds. Some of their site-based station coordinates are near the borders. And we can't tell, nor can Maritime, how that site-based license affects the geographic licenses, ours and theirs, until they produce evidence and give it to us of what they are actually operating.

Now, if they are not operating at all and they don't have any evidence of construction and, yet, they are trying to

maintain those stations, the whole thing just breaks apart. The whole purpose of the site-based versus the incumbent breaks apart.

I mean, that gets back to the issue of why does Maritime not have any evidence of the construction?

It answered that. It said the information that it had when it bought the stations from the licenses and the physical stations from Mobex, those documents were -- Maritime has stated that it was satisfied looking at those documents of construction and operation. It was satisfied. It chose not to keep those documents. Let them remain with Mobex.

Mobex put them in storage, didn't pay the storage fee. Mr. Keller testified that he believed that his company -- his client believed that it was in storage. All the client had to do throughout this whole hearing or at any time, you know, since Maritime bought these, all it had to do is

1	make one phone call to the storage company,
2	whose name they knew, to find out if those
3	documents were still there.
4	Those are the 100 boxes we're
5	getting.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you finished?
7 .	MR. HAVENS: Yes, sir.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Hold on a
9	second. We've got a question.
10	MR. PLACHE: From Pinnacle's
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. Pinnacle's
12	counsel. Yes, sir. Say your name again, sir.
13	MR. PLACHE: Matthew Plache.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Thank
15	you.
16	MR. PLACHE: From the standpoint
17	of Pinnacle Wireless and its concerns,
18	Pinnacle is concerned about station WRV374.
19	And we would want to make sure that any
20	information in those 100 boxes relevant to
21	construction of WRV374 is being preserved.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: WRV374?

1	MR. PLACHE: Three seventy-four.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Where is that
3	station located?
4	MR. PLACHE: Up and down the East
5	Coast. The Pinnacle Wireless is using it in
6	New Jersey to operate its system for the
7	turnpike authority and for the Meadowlands.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: I remember reading
9	that story as I was going to go drive up the
10	turnpike.
11	MR. PLACHE: We don't have
12	information on the original construction 20
13	years back.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't?
15	MR. PLACHE: We don't because
16	there is no way we would have information on
17	that. We know that a company called
18	Regionette owned the license at one point and
19	actually was the tenant paying for the lease
20	who was listed as the lessee at one of the
21	sites that as operating under that license.

So we know that Regionette was operating just

1	based on that information.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: But does this have
3	anything to do with anything that Mr. Havens
4	has, any of his geographic licenses?
5	MR. PLACHE: Apparently Mr. Havens
6	holds the geographic license for part of the
7	coverage in New Jersey.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that right, Mr.
9	Havens?
10	MR. HAVENS: One of my companies
11	has the
12	MR. PLACHE: Actually, not Mr.
13	Havens. One of his companies that he is not
14	acting as counsel for.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, but
16	MR. HAVENS: Look, I represent my
17	companies in the licensing matters before the
18	FCC. That is what you are asking about. I
19	can certainly address that.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, as a fact
21	witness, not as a lawyer and not as an expert.
22	MR. HAVENS: Fine. You know, the

1	issue here is Maritime issue G. We have gone
2	over these boxes.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you going to
4	answer? Wait a minute. Now, wait a minute.
5	Mr. Plache has a question or he made a point
6	about station WRV374 in the New Jersey
7	geographic area.
8	MR. HAVENS: Sure. Yes.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have
10	anything to do with that station?
11	MR. HAVENS: Yes. One of my
12	companies holds the A block, geographic, and
13	CF license for the Northeast, which extends
14	down into roughly half of New Jersey. And in
15	that northern half of New Jersey and along the
16	border, Maritime has certain stations under
17	its site-based license WRB374. So Pinnacle
18	counsel is correct to that extent.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. So Maritime
20	has the site-based and you have the
21	geographic. Now, what is the name of the
22	company that you say that has that?

Let me think. MR. HAVENS: 1 think -- you know, I would have to look that 2 up because we have one -- we have the A block 3 and the B block in the Northeast. And one of 4 them is Environmental, LLC. I believe that 5 has the B block. The other one I believe is 6 Intelligent Transportation and Monitoring 7 Wireless, LLC. That has A block. I'd have to 8 9 verify that. Well, I got you 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: stumped on one. Go ahead. You verify and let 11 12 us know. Email would be fine. 13 MR. HAVENS: Okay. Email is fine. I will send an email, and I will verify. 14 In terms of the preservation of 15 16 the boxes, that is our first goal. And we are doing that in a way that -- you know, we are 17 not going to touch the boxes. My companies 18 and my counsel will have a bonded third party 19 contractor to take all action with the storage 20 company to scan and preserve on a CD all of 21

the boxes, all of the contents as they are at

this time so that all of the parties will be 1 assured that the evidence is exactly as it has 2 3 been. I am going to ask JUDGE SIPPEL: 4 you this. Actually, I am going to require it. 5 I want to get from you a pre-status report on 6 all business about those documents by the 7 I'm not saying you have to have 8 31st. everything done. I'm just saying let me know 9 what actually is the status of getting things 10 done at that point. 11 And just again you can do it by 12 Send copies to all of the other 13 email. parties and lawyers. Okay? 14 MR. HAVENS: Yes, sir. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. 16 And let me ask you one other question. You cited to 17 a rule, 80. something. 18 MR. HAVENS: Yes, 80.385B. And 19 that rule, in essence, provides that 20 geographic licensee will provide a defined 21 protected area around a co-channel or same 22

channel site-based station. 1 And the FCC Wireless Bureau ruling 2 on that -- in fact, Maritime asked for a 3 declaratory ruling that the Bureau permit its 4 site-based stations to be protected from the 5 co-channel geographics surrounding licensee by 6 the maximum assumed parameters permitted under 7 the granted license. 8 Mr. Stone of the Bureau ruled that 9 is not correct, that a site-based 10 that licensee is entitled to protection of its 11 actual operating station. 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have a 13 written ruling on that? 14 HAVENS: Yes, sir, two 15 MR. ruling was 16 rulings. And the last challenged by Maritime in its final ruling. 17 I'll be glad to identify those and provide 18 19 copies. JUDGE SIPPEL: That would be -- I 20 would like those rulings, yes. Are they in 21 the form of a letter ruling or an order? 22

1	MR. HAVENS: I believe the
2	declaratory ruling is labeled a letter ruling.
3	It did have lettering clauses at the end. And
4	the order on reconsideration was an order on
5	reconsideration.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: I would like to get
7	those as soon as you can.
8	MR. HAVENS: Yes. I will provide
9	those.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: With copies again
11	to everybody. You can send it by email. But
12	if you have them handy, it would do me a big
13	service if you would just get them to me.
14	MR. HAVENS: I will do that right
15	away.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you, sir.
17	MR. PLACHE: Your Honor, I believe
18	the two licensees are represented by Mr.
19	Jackson.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: The two
21	MR. PLACHE: The two licensees
22	mentioned, the two companies mentioned as

	entitles.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, give me the
3	names of those licensees. We're talking about
4	WRV374. What else are you talking about?
5	MR. PLACHE: That's what I was
6	talking about.
7	MR. HAVENS: I'm only responding
8	to the Judge's request to provide status. I'm
9	not going to make an argument.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's great.
11	Thank you, Mr. Havens. We're making progress.
12	Mr. Jackson?
13	MR. JACKSON: Yes. Just two very
14	brief items, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, sir.
16	MR. JACKSON: My clients would
17	strongly support the position of the Bureau on
18	the discovery extension. That proposal we
19	would support also.
20	And, second, Mr. Plache indicated
21	that apparently his client did some due
22	diligence when they were going to release this

1	spectrum. And we're looking for some
2	documents.
3	My clients have some outstanding
4	discovery to the applicants about certain
5	questions related to issue G. And I believe
6	they have not been responded to. And I don't
7	think they have been directed to.
8	At some point, Your Honor, we
9	would like you to direct them to respond.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Was this in a
11	discovery interrogatories or
12	MR. JACKSON: Yes. Last fall, I
13	believe, Your Honor, well before I became
14	involved in this case.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Could you resurrect
16	it and send me a copy?
17	MR. JACKSON: Yes, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's on it, I know,
19	but it would help.
20	MR. JACKSON: Yes, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I have limited
22	resources in my office I'm sure you know.
	1 1

1 Okay. Okay. 2 Mr. Havens, you are cooking with gas, let me tell you. 3 I appreciate it. MR. HAVENS: 4 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Let's keep it at that. Five months is what I going to --6 is going to be the interim extension for 7 discovery. And as I get further and further 8 9 down the road and further status reports, you know, that date is going to be refined. Right 10 now it's a maximum of five months, but asap is 11 12 the standard. Your Honor? MS. KANE: 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, ma'am? 14 When we proposed the 15 MS. KANE: 16 original 4 months, we didn't expect we would be getting 100 boxes of documents. 17 months would have been difficult with the 12 18 19 boxes we got from Maritime. So to add another 100 boxes potentially, we have no idea whether 20 any of that is relevant documents that we 21

now

qo

through

still

to

would have

1	determine if they are even responsive to
2	anything in our requests could take
3	considerable time. So I think we would be
4	looking for at least a minimum of six months
5	of discovery to have to go through those.
6	I mean, you're looking at the
7	trial team. It's two people. So to go
8	through 100 boxes of documents when we haven't
9	had anybody go through them to determine if
10	they are even relevant to this hearing
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. But
12	five months is what we I'll go to six
13	months, and that's it. I don't mean to say
14	that you're going to live or die with six
15	months. I'm saying you are going to have to
16	come in and show me very good reason why it
17	has to be beyond six months. Six months is a
18	pretty decent time.
19	MS. KANE: We understand, Your
20	Honor, but we don't even have the 100
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's why I'm
22	saying. I mean, I'm saying I've got to set